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Abstract

Brewed green tea has been associated with just about everything healthy-immunity boosting to prevention of chronic diseases. Speculations about the benefits of green tea range back to ancient times, but their bio activities are yet to be established. The antioxidants in green tea (catechins) are shown to slow the growth of cancer cells, reduce the size of tumors, and soften the sharp side effects of chemotherapy. In Asian countries green tea is consumed as plain brewed tea, but in western countries the popularity of tea is for the flavored green tea available in the market. All existing research work documents health benefits of plain green tea, but studies on flavored teas are not as widespread. In this paper, various flavored green teas were analyzed and compared with plain green tea for anticancer, and antioxidant capabilities. Jasmine and blueberry showed the strongest anticancer properties whereas the most antioxidant was Jasmine.
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1. Introduction

Tea is one of the most popular beverages in the world and is consumed by over two-thirds of the world’s population. Tea has been extensively studied for its wide range of health benefits, including anti-diabetic [Park, 2014], anti-oxidant [Kumaran, 2009], anti-cancer [Lecumberri, 2013], and anti-microbial capabilities [Bancirova, 2010]. Other documented benefits vary from enhanced metabolism leading to weight loss; promoting digestion and ingestion of fatty food; reduction of total cholesterol but raise High Density Lipid (HDL) and prevention of plaque formation and enhanced oral health [Yamamoto, 1997; Yukiiko, 2001]. The leaves of the plant, Camellia Sinensis, are processed in different ways to produce four different types of tea: white, green, oolong, and black [Dattner, 2003]. These specific treatments produce tea eaves with varying chemical compositions and potential health benefits. Green tea has a high concentration of polyphenols. Specifically, it contains a large amount of catechins- compounds believed to be responsible for tea’s effect in our biological activities [Cho, 2007]. Although medicinal and pharmacological effects of plain green tea are well studied, the chemistry and health benefits of newly marketed flavored green teas are not yet documented. A systematic investigation of the chemical components of a variety of commercial flavored teas, such as mango, mint, pomegranate, blueberry, lemon, jasmine and peach are presented in this paper. The purpose of this project is to determine whether any flavored green teas showed significantly higher antioxidant, and anticancer abilities compared to plain green tea.

The four main types of tea (white, green, oolong, and black) are made from the same plant but undergo differential processing. The extent of a certain tea’s ability to provide health benefits can be related to its catechin content. The two types of tea that are least processed are green and white tea, and they have the highest amount of catechins. When the catechins are allowed to oxidize, they form bisflavanols, theaflavins, thearubigens, and other compounds [Babich, 2007]. These compounds do not have the same antioxidant and anticancer effects as catechins, so oolong and black tea do not offer the same benefits as white and green tea. Because of this oxidation and its effect on catechin content, oolong and black tea were not the focus of the current investigation. However, both green and white teas are immediately heat treated to deactivate the enzymes that oxidize the catechins [Dattner, 2003]. White tea is made only from the small, new buds and leaves off of the tea plant. This selection results in a more expensive tea that is not as widely consumed.
Green tea was chosen as the sole focus of the experiments due to both its catechin content and prevalence. Green tea research generally focuses on specific catechins. These compounds are proanthocyanidin derivatives, or more broadly, flavanols [Yamamoto, 1997]. The most abundant catechin is epigallocatechingallate, or EGCG [Cho, 2007], but green tea contains as many as twelve catechins [Babich, 2007]. Eight prominent catechins, with abbreviations, are (-)-Epicatechin (EC), (+)-catechin (C), (-)-Epigallocatechin (EGC), (-)-Gallocatechin (GC), (-)-Epicatechingallate(EGC), (-)-Catechingallate(GC), (-)-Epigallocatechingallate(EGCG), and (-)-Gallocatechingallate (GCG). These polyphenols can have varying effects, depending on the compounds they react with. When they react with free radicals, such as 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), they are oxidized while the DPPH is reduced [Scherer, 2009; Huang, 2005]. However, in the case of EGCG reacting with cancer cells, the catechin oxidizes itself and attacks cysteine residues of proteins in cancerous cells [Yang, 2007]. When EGCG binds to proteins in cancerous cells, it can inhibit their growth, induce apoptosis (programmed cell death), or inhibit angiogenesis, which is the cancer cells causing blood vessels to form and connect to it [Ishii, 2008]. It is not known, however, how the catechin differentiates between normal and cancerous cells and causes apoptosis only in the latter.

The antioxidant capabilities of flavored teas are well investigated. A variety of radicals are commercially available for antioxidant research. 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) is an organic nitrogen free radical used to test antioxidant capabilities [Henning, 2003]. DPPH represents any kind of free radical in the body, whether it is nitrogen based or oxygen based. A compound is a good antioxidant if it can easily donate an electron to the electron deficient radical, stopping the chain of molecules stealing electrons from each other. Free radicals do occur in the body, but available antioxidants can prevent them from doing too much damage. When free radicals are released, they are able to destroy the cell membrane. Once this amphipathic envelope that encloses the cell is destroyed, it will release cell organelles, cell composition, and most importantly the nucleus. Free radicals can continue to disrupt the nuclear envelope which causes the release of free DNA, the genetic blueprint, to the outer space there by completely destroying a healthy cell [Huang, 2005; Henning, 2003]. Therefore, it is important to scavenge these free radicals in body. Chemical composition of various flavored green tea and their anticancer and antioxidant properties are discussed in this paper. Identification and quantification of various catechins in flavored teas were performed using High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC). DPPH method was used to study the antioxidant properties of flavored tea extracts. This was followed by an investigation of their anticancer properties and is presented in relation to their catechin content.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Tea Samples

A variety of flavored green tea-Mango, Jasmine, Peach, Mint, Pomegranate, Lemon, and Blueberry-were used for the study. Each flavored type had a distinctive scent, taste, and ingredient which made them unique. All green tea samples were purchased locally but produced by same company R. C. Bigelow Inc, Fairfield, CT.

2.2. Catechin Standards

Catechin, Epicatechin, Epicatechingallate, Epigallocatechin, Gallocatechingallate, Epigallocatechingallate were all purchased from Nacalai Tesque, China. DPPH (CAS Number1898-66-4) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

2.3. Instrument Details

HPLC: Waters 600E with UV/Vis detector, column heater and auto sampler. Column- Waters Symmetry, 4.6mm X 250 mm, 5µ; Guard column-Symmetry.

UV-Vis Spectrometer: Agilent 8453. Breast cancer cells (MDA-MB-231) were donated by University of Nebraska, Medical School.

2.4. Other Reagents

All reagents and solvents were purchased from commercial sources and used without further purification. Phosphoric acid: Burdick & Jackson75-05-8; Acetonitrile: Mallinckrodt Chemicals 7664-38-2

2.5. HPLC Method

Preparation of standards: Catechin standards for HPLC were prepared by accurately weighing 2.5mg of standard sample into an 8mL amber vial. Mix with 5.0mL 0.1% H₃PO₄ and sonicated for 10 minutes and allowed to cool. This stock solution is 500µg/mL.
2.6. Preparation of Extracts

Tea extracts were prepared by accurately weighing 100 mg of sample into a 100mL volumetric flask mixing it with 75mL of 0.1% H$_3$PO$_4$. Samples were sonicated for 1 hour and allowed to cool before making up the volume. All samples were filtered through 0.45µm PTFE filter for HPLC use. Chromatograms were run at 280nm with a column temperature of 220°C using gradient method. The injection volume was maintained at 10µL and the mobile phases used were 0.1% H$_3$PO$_4$ (A); acetonitrile (B); milliQ water (C) and methanol (D).

2.7. Antioxidant Study

Eight different teas were compared in this experiment: blueberry, jasmine, lemon, mango, mint, peach, pomegranate, and plain green tea. Each tea sample was prepared the same way by steeping 1.000g of tea in 50 mL hot water (80°C) for three minutes. The filtered solution was then dried under nitrogen gas on an 80°C hotplate and reconstituted in 50 mL of methanol. Each tea sample was prepared in triplicate. In addition, tea extracts with varying amount of tea -0.25g, 0.50 g, 1.00g, 2.00g, and 3.00g were also prepared and used for IC50 calculations. The DPPH solution was prepared by dissolving 0.0025g of DPPH in 100mL methanol. For antioxidant study, samples were prepared by mixing 0.1 mL aliquots of methanolic tea extracts with 3.9 ml of DPPH solution. The blank was 100% DPPH concentration. The mixtures of tea with DPPH were incubated for 60 minutes at 37°C. Sample preparations and antioxidant studies were all performed in a dark room since DPPH was sensitive to light. After an hour of incubation, the UV-Vis spectra were recorded at 515nm for each tea extracts, blank DPPH solution and tea-DPPH solutions. Percent inhibition or the radical scavenging effect [Sanchez-Moreno, 1999; Scherer, 2009] was calculated using the formula $\text{IC50} = \frac{100*(\text{Abs}_b-\text{Abs}_i)}{\text{Abs}_b}$, where $\text{Abs}_b$ is the absorbance of the DPPH stock solution and $\text{Abs}_i$ is the absorbance of the tea and DPPH solution after 60 minutes of incubation. The higher the percent inhibition, the more antioxidant the particular flavored tea was. Further, IC50 calculations - efficiency concentration at 50% inhibition-were done graphically using a calibration curve in the linear range by plotting the extract concentration vs. the corresponding scavenging effect [Sanchez-Moreno, 1999].

2.8. Anticancer study

Six tea solutions were compared for their anti-cancer activity in this experiment: blueberry, jasmine, mango, mint, pomegranate, and plain green tea. 1.000g of tea was steeped in water at 80°C for three minutes. The filtered solution was then evaporated and labeled. The flavored tea extracts were dissolved in ethanol to make 2µg/mL solutions. 20µL of this solution was added to 180µL of media, making a 200ng/mL concentration of the flavored tea. A drug plate was generated using 10µL of the above solution transferred to the drug plate in triplicate and then half dilutions were made across the plate (the concentrations are 200ng/mL to 0.4ng/mL). Breast cancer cells (MDA-MB-231) were plated and allowed to attach overnight. The tea extracts from the drug plate above was transferred to the assay plate and incubated for 72 hours. At the end of the incubation period 10µL of presto blue viability reagent was added and the plate was incubated again for an additional 15 minutes. Fluorescence was measured on spectamax M5 plate reader ex=560nm and em=590nm [Pessetto, 2012].

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. HPLC Analysis

HPLC analysis of standard catechins as well the six flavored teas were done to get the quantitative information of various catechin present in these samples [Bonoli, 2003]. All flavored teas contain 6 major catechins–catechin, epicatechin, Epicatechingallate, epigallocatechin, galloatechingallate and epigallocatechingallate. In all the samples the highest peak was EGCG, epigallocatechingallate. Calibration curves were constructed using eight concentrations for EC, ECG, EGC, GCG, EGCG (5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 50, 75, 100 µg/mL) and nine concentrations for C (including125 µg/mL). Each of the solutions was diluted from its 500 µg/mL stock as follows: A linear regression was constructed for each standard in order to quantify the catechins present in the flavored tea samples. To illustrate the method, the catechin calibration curve is shown here in figure [2]. Calculations using integrated intensity at 280nm showed that out of the six flavored teas compared to plain green tea (Bigelow brand), jasmine had the highest total catechin content at 270mg/g. It had a much higher concentration of catechin, epicatechin, epicatechingallate, and epigallocatechingallate. Each of the six standards was found in the six flavored teas but galloatechingallate was not present in plain green tea.
3.2. Antioxidant Analysis
Antioxidant capacity of seven flavored teas was studied in terms of percent absorbance. For each trial, jasmine tea gave the highest percent inhibition average about 85%. The second most antioxidant teas were blueberry and pomegranate while the least antioxidant tea was mango (27% inhibition) followed by Mint. Figure 3 belongs to Jasmine tea of different concentrations mixed with 0.3 mM DPPH. The top black colored line indicates absorbance of 100% DPPH with no tea; therefore, it has the highest value of 3.4328 at 517 nm. The noise at the highest absorbance may be due to undissolved particles which scatter the UV-Vis light. As DPPH reacted with 0.25 g, the absorbance decreased due to the tea scavenging and reduced the radical to 2.7565. When DPPH reduced, the color turned to yellow/colorless, hence the absorbance decreased. Various colored lines in the figure indicate various amount of tea reacted with DPPH. It is clear that as the tea weight increased to 3.0 g, the absorbance nearly reduced to zero. An absorption measurement of tea alone did not show any peak at 517 nm, confirming no interference from tea at that wavelength for any flavor.

Figure 4 contains the absorbance data of various concentrations of Jasmine flavored tea at 517 nm. The tea concentrations were calculated and plotted against 1%. Based on the graph, at the 50% inhibition point, it can be extrapolated to calculate the exact tea concentration which inhibits 50% of DPPH activity. For brevity only Jasmine tea which has an IC50 of 24.97 mg/mL is shown in figure 4.

Figure 5 represents the scavenging effect of all flavored tea measured against all DPPH concentrations studied. The first row of 0.3258 mM DPPH and 0.25 g of various flavored teas, the jasmine tea show to have high scavenging effects [%] toward free radicals, in second is the blueberry, and third is the pomegranate. As for the mango, mint, peach, and lemon, they show to have smaller scavenging effects than the first three flavored green tea. The higher the scavenging effect, the more active that flavored tea can reduce the radicals. The lower the % value, the less it can reduce the DPPH. In the second row of data with 0.2000 mM DPPH and tea, the same trend appears. However, as the DPPH concentrations decreased to 0.1242 mM and 0.0800 mM, the data changed. The blueberry, pomegranate, and jasmine scavenging effect are comparable. They are relatively the same and the one with highest scavenging effect is jasmine, pomegranate, and blueberry respectively.

During the preparation of blueberry and pomegranate green tea, we noticed deep vibrant dark blue and purple color for the extracts. The colors are from anthocyanin, a chemical component which is known to have antioxidant property. This may explain why the blueberry and pomegranate has such a high antioxidant property. The catechins in tea and anthocyanin may work together increasing their overall antioxidant ability and the scavenging effect. However, the jasmine flavored green tea is colorless, yet it shown to have high % value. This might be due to the same synergistic effects of chemical components in jasmine with the catechins in green tea; there by increasing the scavenging effect. The lower 1% of mango, mint, peach, and lemon teas might be due to fact that the chemical components in those flavors do not have any antioxidant activity; therefore, the scavenging effect is only due to the green tea catechins. A further investigation is needed in the future, to completely understand the mechanism.

3.3. Anticancer Study
The extracts from six different teas and a positive control (a known anticancer compound 7c) [Chen, 2011] were subjected to cancer cell growth inhibition studies. Out of the six teas examined, four tea extracts and the positive control (IC50=13μM) exhibited anticancer effects. These four teas were blueberry, jasmine, mango, and plain green tea. The extracts were able to inhibit the growth of MDA-MB-231 cells a metastatic breast cancer cell line with IC50 values in the range of 5-25 ng/mL (Figure 6). Moreover, extracts of the plain, blueberry and jasmine teas were able to induce cytotoxic effects at concentrations >10 ng/mL. It is interesting to note that the extract from jasmine tea exhibited the best antioxidant and anticancer effects. On the other hand, extract from the mint and pomegranate tea did not show any anticancer effects. These results indicate the need for additional studies to dissect the various components in these extracts and link them to the individual biological effects. Four teas (blueberry, jasmine, mango, and plain) performed better than the other two (mint and pomegranate) by reducing the percent growth of the breast cancer cells more than the same concentrations, best exemplified at 10 ng/mL. While complete mechanisms of catechins’ anticancer activities have yet to be elucidated, a pathway of EGCG autoxidation has been identified and reported [Cho, 2011]. It has been suggested that oxygen could be responsible for the autoxidation while metal ions (CuII or FeIII) could be involved in catalysis [Furukawa, 2003]. EGCG autoxidizes to form a radical that binds covalently to the thiol group of the amino acid cysteine [Kuzuhara, 2008].
By binding to certain proteins, EGCG can alter their conformation and impede their function. The researchers examined glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, which catalyzes the sixth step of glycolysis. By forming covalent bonds with this enzyme, EGCG can prevent the breakdown of glucose into pyruvate and cause the cell to commit programmed cell death due to nutrient deprivation [Carvalho, 2010]. It is not known, however, how the catechin differentiates between normal and cancerous cells and causes apoptosis only in the latter. Furthermore, EGCG also inhibits the activation of protein kinases, blocks the activation of transcription factors, inhibits cell proliferation, modulates cell cycle regulation, interferes with receptor binding, and suppresses invasiveness [Fujiki, 2012]. This catechin and others can cause cancer cell death through one or multiple of these pathways while leaving healthy cells alone.

4. Conclusion

Based on these antioxidant and anticancer studies, we can conclude that extract from the jasmine tea exhibited the highest activity on both studies. Blueberry and pomegranate green tea extracts, had deep vibrant color (dark blue and purple) in solution due to the presence of anthocyanin. This may explain why the blueberry and pomegranate has such high antioxidant property. However, the jasmine flavored green tea, which was colorless, had the highest I% value. This might be due to the chemical components within jasmine that could have synergistic effects with the catechins in green tea; there by increasing the scavenging effect. Similarly, the reason for lower I% of mango, mint, peach, and lemon could be due to antagonistic effect of chemical components in them with the tea catechins. The jasmine plant has a history of being used medicinally and it is a good candidate for future research. It has been used for psychiatric disorders and other illnesses [Ferreres, 2014]. Research in the future will focus on determining the major compounds in jasmine and to see which compounds have synergistic antioxidant and anticancer effects with the catechins in green tea.
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Figure 1: Chemical Structures of Various Catechins
Figure 2: Calibration Curve of Catechin using various Concentrations. Integrated Intensity under the Curve is plotted against the Concentration.

Figure 3: UV-Vis Spectra of DPPH with various Amounts of Jasmine Tea.
Figure 4: UV-Vis Absorbance of DPPH with different Jasmine Concentrations and IC 50
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**Figure 5: Scavenging Effect [I%] of 0.25 g of Various Flavored Tea**
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**Figure 6: Six teas were tested for their Anticancer Capabilities: Blueberry, Jasmine, Mint, Mango, Pomegranate, and Plain green tea**
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>Flow rate (mL)</th>
<th>%A</th>
<th>%B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Gradient Conditions used in HPLC Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volume of stock solution (µL)</th>
<th>Volume of 0.1% H₃PO₄ (µL)</th>
<th>Total volume (µL)</th>
<th>End concentration (µg/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1960</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>1940</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Dilution Method Used for the Preparation of Calibration Curves

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration, mg/g dw green tea</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>EC</th>
<th>ECG</th>
<th>EGC</th>
<th>GCG</th>
<th>EGCG</th>
<th>total catechin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>plain green tea</td>
<td>65.73</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>13.96</td>
<td>31.89</td>
<td>121</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>blueberry</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>6.38</td>
<td>25.81</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>26.12</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>jasmine</td>
<td>128.98</td>
<td>11.86</td>
<td>34.10</td>
<td>20.85</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>72.74</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lemon</td>
<td>56.34</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>8.10</td>
<td>16.40</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>32.13</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mango</td>
<td>32.67</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>16.64</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>16.76</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mint</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>6.91</td>
<td>13.89</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>32.13</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pomegranate</td>
<td>35.12</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>18.39</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>20.74</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Calculated Concentrations of Various Catechins in Tea Extracts